### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

$\mathbf{T}$		П
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$		- 6
	v	1

REC'D	08	MAY	2006
WIPO			PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
D-2003.795 WO	International filing date (dd	military)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
International application No.	,		, ,	
PCT/US04/18992 International Patent Classification (IPC	15 June 2004 (15.06.2004)	IPC:	24 June 2003 (24.06.2003)	
	) of manonal classification and			
IPC: C07K 1/00(2006.01) USPC: 530/416				
Applicant				
AKZO NOBEL N.V.				
This report is the intern Examining Authority un	national preliminary examin der Article 36 and transmitte	nation report, establed to the applicant a	ished by this International Preliminary ecording to Article 36.	
2. This REPORT consists of	of a total of $\overline{1}$ sheets, inclu	ding this cover shee	t.	
3. This report is also accom	panied by ANNEXES, com	prising:		
a. [ (sent to the appli	cant and to the Internationa	l Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:	
this report	ne description, claims and/or and/or sheets containing re n 607 of the Administrative	ectifications authori:	ave been amended and are the basis of zed by this Authority (see Rule 70.16	
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains ind	ications relating to the follow	wing items:		
Box No. I	Basis of the report			
Box No. II	o. II Priority			
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	n of this report	
18 February 2005 (18.02.2005)		07 April 2006 (07.0	4.2006)	
Name and muiling address of the IPE	V US	(Authorized officer	1 Lawrench	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		- July	Dim & II	
P.O. Box 1450	70	S. Đojfi, Ph.Ø.	$^{-}$ $^{\prime}$	
Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-145 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	U	Telephone No. (571	272-1600	
Factor DCT/ID) is /400 (cours sheet) (April 2005)				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.	-
PCT/US04/18992	

Box	No.	I Basis of the report
		regard to the language, this report is based on:
1. V		the international application in the language in which it was filed.
1		a translation of the international application into English, which is the language of a translation furnished for the
ļ	لــا	purposes of:
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
te	o the	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished a receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not exed to this report):
a F	une V	• /
L F		the international application as originally filed/furnished
L	$\triangle$	the description: pages 1-29 as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:
	_	pages 30 and 31 as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on
Į	X	the drawings:
		pages 1-6 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by the received
I		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4. [		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* 7.1		
	uen	n 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/18992

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Arti applicability; citations and expl	icle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventi- lanations supporting such statement	ve step or industrial
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-18	YESYES
	Claims NONE	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 1-18	
	Claims NONE	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-18	YES
induction is approximately (if it)	Claims NONE	NO NO
for removing an endotoxin from recombinantly produ		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/18992

Box No. VIII	Certain	observations	on the	international	application
--------------	---------	--------------	--------	---------------	-------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 18 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claim 18 is indefinite for the following reason(s): Claim 18 is confusing in the limitation: 'affixed to an anion exchange chromatographic resin'. Claim 18 depends from claim 17 the process of which is limited to the use of cation exchange chromatographic resins.

Claims 1 and 14 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because the claims are not fully supported by the description. The description does not disclose the claimed invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for the claimed invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art because: The examples in the instant specification are limited to a showing that the claimed method is performed with ion exchange chromatographic resins, i.e., cation exchange chromatographic resins or anion exchange chromatographic resins. There is no evidence of generalization of the process to any resin. The scope of claims 1 and 14 is not limited to 'ion exchange chromatographic resins'.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VIII) (April 2005)